

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/910,662	07/20/2001	Ziya Aral	61628-05744	2628	
758	7590 12/21/2005		EXAMINER		
FENWICK & WEST LLP			TRAN, NGHI V		
	LLEY CENTER RNIA STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041			2151		

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/910,66	2	ARAL ET AL.	
		Examiner	•	Art Unit	
		Nghi V. Tr	an	2151	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA isions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communiperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve sication. tory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the appl	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tim expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
2a) <u></u> □	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 2b Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice	n)⊠ This action is no or allowance except	on-final. for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the apparatus of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from cor			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objecting the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to be	a) accepted or b) on to the drawing(s) be correction is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) [] a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do as Copies of the priority do application from the International See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have bee ocuments have bee the priority docume al Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P ^T r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/910,662 Page 2

Art Unit: 2151

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 11, 2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 9-11, 18-21, 23, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yanai et al., U.S. Patent No 6,502,205 (hereinafter Yanai), in view of McDowell, U.S. Patent No. 6,260,125 (hereinafter McDowell).

Art Unit: 2151

4. With respect to claims 1, 3, and 5, Yanai teaches a system for mirroring write operations from a local storage system onto a remote storage system [see abstract and figs.1-4], the system comprising:

- an asynchronous mirroring driver resident in the local storage system for intercepting I/O transactions to a storage disk of the local storage system, identifying a series of write transactions issued to said storage disk, making a copy of the series of write transactions, and storing said copy in at least on of a series of files that are created on a file-system of the local storage system [col.3, In.55 col.4, In.25 and col.5, Ins.19-67]; and
- a first asynchronous mirroring coordinator [12 i.e. host A] resident on the local storage system for invoking a file transfer system to transmit the series of files on local file-system of the local storage system to a file system of the remote storage system via a non-proprietary network protocol [fig.1 and col.19, ln.65 - col.20, ln.64].

However, Yanai does not explicitly show to accommodate an exact reproduction at the remote storage system of the series of write transactions as issued to said storage disk of the local storage system.

In asynchronous remote data mirroring system, McDowell suggests to accommodate an exact reproduction at the remote storage system of the series of write transactions as issued to said storage disk of the local storage system (i.e. non-mirrored volume) [col.4, ln.40 - col.5, ln.24].

Application/Control Number: 09/910,662 Page 4

Art Unit: 2151

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Yanai in view of McDowell by accommodating an exact reproduction at the remote storage system of the series of write transactions as issued to said storage disk of the local storage system because this feature provides for log-based mirror reconstruction and check-pointing of the mirrored volumes [McDowell, see abstract]. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated in order to optimize the queuing process for write locality, i.e. minimizing duplicated writes [McDowell, col.5, Ins.55-60].

- 5. With respect to claims 2,4 and 6, Yanai further teaches the system claim 1 further comprising:
 - a second asynchronous mirroring coordinator [52 i.e. host B] resident on the remote storage system for detecting the series of files on the file system of the remote storage system, opening the files and reading the copies of the series of write transactions in these files [fig.1]; and
 - an asynchronous mirroring driver resident on the remote storage system for receiving the copies of the series of write transactions from the second asynchronous mirroring coordinator and issuing the transactions to a remote device connected tot the remote storage system which is configured to mirror the local storage device on the local storage system [col.3, ln.55 - col.4, ln.25 and col.5, lns.19-67].

6. With respect to claims 9-11, Yanai further teaches the asynchronous mirroring driver intercepts all I/O transactions in the system [36 i.e. disk adaptor].

- 7. With respect to claims 18-20, Yanai further teaches the asynchronous mirroring driver intercepts a transaction affecting the content or organization of a disk [col.20, ln.41 col.21, ln.38].
- 8. With respect to claims 21, 23, and 25, Yanai further teaches the series of write transactions is one of the plurality of series of I/O transactions that are respectively retained in corresponding ones of the series of files, and individual ones of the series of files include pointers to accommodate sequencing the series of files, whereby a transaction level record of changes to the storage disk of the local storage system is provided for the remote storage system [col.21, ln.40 col.22, ln.40 and col.23, ln.45 col.24, ln.62].
- 9. With respect to claims 22, 24, and 26, Yanai further teaches the plurality of series of I/O transactions include at least one formatting transactions and/or at least one partitioning transaction [col.5, Ins.19-67].
- 10. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over both Yanai and McDowell as applied to claims 1, 3, and 5 above, and further in view of Cannon et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,673,382 (hereinafter Cannon).

Art Unit: 2151

11. With respect to claim 7, Yanai is silent on individual ones of the series of the files comprise: a Header portion that includes information on the total size of the file; an I/O Control Block portion which indicates address offsets where each transaction in the file is to be stored on the remotely located destination storage system, and which further indicates the size of the data for each transaction; and a Data portion which contains the data for each transaction in the file.

In a communication system, Cannon discloses individual ones of the series of the files comprise:

- a Header portion that includes information on the total size of the file [col.8,
 Ins.44-46];
- an I/O Control Block portion which indicates address offsets where each transaction in the file is to be stored on the remotely located destination storage system, and which further indicates the size of the data for each transaction [col.8, Ins.43-44]; and
- a Data portion which contains the data for each transaction in the file [col.8,
 Ins.41-46].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify both Yanai and McDowell, and further in view of Cannon by including a Header portion on the total size, indicating an I/O Control Block portion, and containing a Data portion for each transaction in the file because this feature keeps track of each transaction (i.e. the size of the file, the size of data for each

Art Unit: 2151

transaction and the data portion). It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to modify in order to provide disaster recovery [Cannon, see abstract].

- 12. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over all Yanai, McDowell, and Cannon as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Durflinger et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,713,014 (hereinafter Durflinger).
- 13. With respect to claim 8, both Yanai and Cannon are silent on the Header portion further includes: a pointer to the I/O Control Block portion which indicates the offset where the I/O Control Block portion of the file begins; and a pointer to the Data portion, which indicates the offset where the Data portion of the file begins.

In a communication system, Durflinger discloses the Header portion further includes: a pointer to the I/O Control Block portion which indicates the offset where the I/O Control Block portion of the file begins; and a pointer to the Data portion, which indicates the offset where the Data portion of the file begins [col.11, lns.13-37].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify all Yanai, McDowell, and Cannon, and further in view of Durflinger by indicating the I/O Control Block and Data portion of the file begins because this feature indicate where the I/O control block portion begins in the file and where the data begins in the file. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 2151

art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to modify both Yanai and Cannon, and further in view of Durflinger in order to access the files easier.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are 14. moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 15. examiner should be directed to Nghi V. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-4067. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on (571) 272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Khanh Bmh A. V. 2151 Primary Examiner

Nghi V Tran